

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,669	SHIMAZAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(3) _____.

(2) Julie Seaman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2005

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

9,11

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated that claims 9 and 11 were duplicates, but that the rest of the case was in condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to cancel claim 11 and have the case pass to issue.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kevin M. Bernatz, PhD
 Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)